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1 Introduction: Astrophysical evidence for dark matter

observational evidence for dark matter from galaxy rotation curves, gravitational lensing, CMB, structure formation, ...
Two main candidates for dark matter particles: WIMPS and axions

- **WIMPS** (weakly interacting particles): mass $\sim 100\, GeV$
  motivated by supersymmetry (lightest supersymmetric particle should be stable)

- **axions**: mass $\sim 100\, \mu eV$
  motivated by Standard Model of Particle Physics, no supersymmetry needed (solution of strong CP problem)

- Both are cold dark dark matter (CDM) but with subtle differences for halo physics. Axions most likely to form a very cold quantum liquid, a Bose-Einstein condensate (Sikivie et al 2009)
Two main candidates for dark matter particles: WIMPS and axions

- **WIMPS** (weakly interacting particles): mass $\sim 100\text{GeV}$
  motivated by supersymmetry (lightest supersymmetric particle should be stable)

- **axions**: mass $\sim 100\text{µeV}$
  motivated by Standard Model of Particle Physics, no supersymmetry needed (solution of strong CP problem)

- Both are cold dark dark matter (CDM) but with subtle differences for halo physics. Axions most likely to form a very cold quantum liquid, a Bose-Einstein condensate (Sikivie et al 2009)

Strong CP problem...

- The QCD lagrangian contains a CP-violating term:

\[
L_{\Theta} = (\theta_{QCD} + \arg \det M_q) \frac{\alpha_s}{8 \pi} G_{\mu\nu} a \tilde{G}_{a}^{\mu\nu} = \Theta \frac{\alpha_s}{8 \pi} G \tilde{G}
\]

- This induces a huge **electric dipole moment** for the neutron:

\[
|d_n| \approx |\Theta| 10^{-16} \text{ e cm} \quad \text{vs} \quad |d_n| < 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ e cm} \quad \text{PDG 2010}
\]

\[\Theta < 10^{-9}\]

The strong CP problem = **Why is \(\Theta\) so small??**
Axion as a solution...

- Introduce a new dynamical variable $a(x)$ with coupling to $GG$:

$$L_\Theta \rightarrow L_a = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu a)^2 - \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \frac{a(x)}{f_a} \tilde{G} \tilde{G}$$

Axion decay constant; Peccei-Quinn scale

- $aGG$ term induces axion mixing with $\pi^0$, $\eta$ and $\eta'$.

  \[ V(a) = \frac{1}{2} m_a^2 a^2 + \ldots \]

  \[ m_a \approx \sqrt{m_u m_d} \frac{f_\pi}{m_u + m_d} \frac{m_\pi}{f_a} \frac{6.0 \text{ eV}}{f_a / 10^6 \text{ GeV}} \]

  \[ \rightarrow \] Effective mass for the axion:

  \[ \rightarrow \] Effective potential $V(a)$ drives $a(x)$ to zero. CP symmetry is dynamically restored!
Implementing the PQ mechanism: generic recipe...

- Introduce a new complex scalar field with potential $V(|\varphi|)$, which couples (directly or indirectly) to (SM or exotic) quark(s).
- Impose a chiral $U(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry, spontaneously broken at $E \sim f_a$.

\[ \theta = a/f_a \]

Axion is the (pseudo) Nambu-Goldstone boson.

$U(1)_{PQ}$ explicitly broken by instanton effects at $E \sim \Lambda_{QCD} \sim 400$ MeV.

\[ V(\theta) = \frac{\alpha_s}{8 \pi} \frac{a(x)}{f_a} G \tilde{G} \]

\[ \theta = a/f_a \]

Tilting the Mexican hat; Axion acquires a mass.

Defining feature of the QCD axion!

Pictures courtesy of Y. Wong, Aachen
Axionic dark matter searches with Josephson junctions and SQUIDS
All based on the same idea...

- Exploit axion coupling with electromagnetic field:

  \[
  L_{a\gamma} = -\frac{1}{4} g_{a\gamma} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} a = g_{a\gamma} E \cdot B a
  \]

- Make use of the **inverse Primakoff effect** to detect astrophysical and cosmological axions.  
  Sikivie 1983

**Primakoff effect:**
Production of neutral pseudoscalar mesons in an external E or B field from photons.

Axion to photon conversion

Magnet in your lab
CERN Axion Solar Telescope (CAST)
L = 9.26 m
B = 9 T
(Decommissioned LHC test magnet)

Tokyo Axion Helioscope “Sumico”
L = 2.3 m
B = 4 T
**Axion Dark Matter EXperiment (ADMX)**

Between 1996 and 2009, already excluded

**KSVZ** (hadronic) axions in the mass range:

\[ 1.9 < m_a / \mu \text{eV} < 3.53 \quad (f_a \sim 10^{12} \text{GeV}) \]
Where we stand...
Very recently (2013-14), four completely new ideas to detect dark matter axions in the lab have been suggested. All have in common that they search for coherent axion oscillations, i.e. a small electric signal that oscillates with the axion mass \( \hbar \omega = m_a c^2 \) (this frequency is in the GHz region). Still the details of these proposals, of course, are very different.

4 Josephson junctions as axion detectors

- Josephson junction (JJ) consists of two superconductors separated by a weak-link region (yellow)
- weak link-region is an insulator for tunnel junctions and a normal metal for S/N/S junctions
- distance between superconducting plates: \( d \sim 1\, nm \) for tunnel junctions, \( d \sim 1\, \mu m \) for S/N/S junctions
- If voltage \( V \) is applied then JJ emits Josephson radiation of frequency \( \hbar \omega_J = 2eV \)
• Important technical device: Two Josephson junctions can form a ‘bounded state’, a SQUID (Superconducting Quantum Interference Device)

• Used e.g. for high-precision magnetic flux measurements

• See any textbook on superconductivity (e.g. ‘Introduction to Superconductivity’ by M. Tinkham) how this works
Axion field $a = f_a \theta$. Classical eq. of motion of the axion misalignment angle $\theta$:

$$\ddot{\theta} + \Gamma \dot{\theta} + \frac{m_a^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \sin \theta = \frac{g_\gamma}{\pi} \frac{1}{f_a^2} c^3 e^2 \vec{E} \vec{B}$$

(1)

$f_a$ axion coupling, $m_a$ axion mass, $g_\gamma = -0.97$ for KSVZ axions, or $g_\gamma = 0.36$ for DFSZ axions. In the early universe, $\Gamma = 3H$, where $H$ is the Hubble parameter. $\vec{E}$, $\vec{B}$: external electric and magnetic field.
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Compare this with the eq. of motion of a Josephson junction (JJ). The phase difference $\delta$ of a JJ driven by a bias current $I$ satisfies

$$
\ddot{\delta} + \frac{1}{RC} \dot{\delta} + \frac{2eI_c}{\hbar C} \sin \delta = \frac{2e}{\hbar C} I
$$

(2)

$I_c$: critical current of the junction, $R$: normal resistance, $C$: capacity of the junction.
Axion field $a = f_a \theta$. Classical eq. of motion of the axion misalignement angle $\theta$:

$$\ddot{\theta} + \Gamma \dot{\theta} + \frac{m_a^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \sin \theta = \frac{g_\gamma}{\pi} \frac{1}{f_a^2} c^3 e^2 \vec{E} \vec{B}$$

(1)

$f_a$ axion coupling, $m_a$ axion mass, $g_\gamma = -0.97$ for KSVZ axions, or $g_\gamma = 0.36$ for DFSZ axions. In the early universe, $\Gamma = 3H$, where $H$ is the Hubble parameter. $\vec{E}$, $\vec{B}$: external electric and magnetic field.

Compare this with the eq. of motion of a Josephson junction (JJ). The phase difference $\delta$ of a JJ driven by a bias current $I$ satisfies

$$\ddot{\delta} + \frac{1}{RC} \dot{\delta} + \frac{2eI_c}{\hbar C} \sin \delta = \frac{2e}{\hbar C} I$$

(2)

$I_c$: critical current of the junction, $R$: normal resistance, $C$: capacity of the junction.

Equations of motion are basically the same.
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Equations of motion are basically the same.

The numerical values of the coefficients for typical QCD axion physics and typical JJ physics are also quite similar (see C. Beck, Mod. Phys. Lett. 26, 2841 (2011) for examples).

Hence it is natural to think about possible interactions between JJs and axions.
Field equations of axions in a Josephson junction environment:

\[ \ddot{\theta} + \Gamma \dot{\theta} - c^2 \nabla^2 \theta + \frac{m_a^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \sin \theta = -\frac{g_\gamma}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{f_a^2 c^3 e^2} \vec{E} \vec{B} \]  

\[ \nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \vec{j} + \frac{g_\gamma}{\pi} \alpha \frac{1}{c} \vec{E} \times \nabla \theta - \frac{g_\gamma}{\pi} \alpha \frac{1}{c} \vec{B} \dot{\theta} \]  

\[ \nabla \vec{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} + \frac{g_\gamma}{\pi} \alpha c \vec{B} \nabla \theta \]  

\[ \ddot{\delta} + \frac{1}{RC} \dot{\delta} + \frac{2eI_c}{\hbar C} \sin \delta = \frac{2e}{\hbar} I \]  

\[ P_{a \rightarrow \gamma} = \frac{1}{16\beta_a} (g_\gamma \text{Bec} L)^2 \frac{1}{\pi^3 f_a^2 \alpha} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{qL}{2\hbar}}{\frac{qL}{2\hbar}} \right)^2 \]  

\[ m_a \text{ axion mass, } f_a \text{ axion coupling constant, } \beta_a = \frac{v_a}{c} \text{ axion velocity, } \vec{E} \text{ electric field, } \vec{B} \text{ magnetic field, } g_\gamma = -0.97 \text{ for KSVZ axions, } g_\gamma = 0.36 \text{ for DFSZ axions, } q \text{ momentum transfer, } P_{a \rightarrow \gamma} \text{ probability of axion decay, } I_c \text{ critical current of junction.} \]
Field equations of axions in a Josephson junction environment:

\[ \ddot{\theta} + \Gamma \dot{\theta} - c^2 \nabla^2 \theta + \frac{m_a^2 c^4}{\hbar^2} \sin \theta = -\frac{g_\gamma}{4\pi^2 f_a^2 c^2 e^2} \tilde{E} \tilde{B} \]  

(3)

\[ \nabla \times \tilde{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \tilde{j} + \frac{g_\gamma}{\pi} \alpha \frac{1}{c} \tilde{E} \times \nabla \theta - \frac{g_\gamma}{\pi} \alpha \frac{1}{c} \tilde{B} \dot{\theta} \]  

(4)

\[ \nabla \tilde{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} + \frac{g_\gamma}{\pi} \alpha c \tilde{B} \nabla \theta \]  

(5)

\[ \ddot{\delta} + \frac{1}{RC} \dot{\delta} + \frac{2eI_c}{\hbar C} \sin \delta = \frac{2e}{\hbar C} I \]  

(6)

\[ P_{a\rightarrow\gamma} = \frac{1}{16\beta_a} (g_\gamma \text{Bec L})^2 \frac{1}{\pi^3 f_a^2} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{qL}{2\hbar}}{\frac{qL}{2\hbar}} \right)^2 \]  

(7)

\( m_a \) axion mass, \( f_a \) axion coupling constant, \( \beta_a = v_a/c \) axion velocity, \( \tilde{E} \) electric field, \( \tilde{B} \) magnetic field, \( g_\gamma = -0.97 \) for KSVZ axions, \( g_\gamma = 0.36 \) for DFSZ axions, \( q \) momentum transfer, \( P_{a\rightarrow\gamma} \) probability of axion decay, \( I_c \) critical current of junction.

Equations allow for an axion-induced supercurrent with linearly increasing phase difference. A linearly increasing axion phase induces a large \( B \)-field, vertically entering axions decay.
If axion decays, its effect is similar to a second Josephson junction with phase difference $\theta$ in addition to the measuring one with phase difference $\delta$.

Joint axion Josephson wave function $\Psi = |\Psi|e^{i\varphi}$ must be single-valued. This means that for a given closed integration curve (dashed line above) one has

$$\int_{SC} \nabla \varphi \cdot d\vec{s} + \delta + \theta = 0 \mod 2\pi$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta, \theta \text{ are no longer independent of each other but influence each other.}$$
In the presence of a vector potential $\vec{A}$ define gauge-invariant phase differences $\gamma_i$ by

$$\gamma_1 := \delta - \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} \int_{weak\ link\ 1} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{s}$$

$$\gamma_2 := \theta - \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} \int_{weak\ link\ 2} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{s}.$$
In the presence of a vector potential $\vec{A}$ define gauge-invariant phase differences $\gamma_i$ by

$$\gamma_1 := \delta - \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} \int_{\text{weak link 1}} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{s}$$

$$\gamma_2 := \theta - \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} \int_{\text{weak link 2}} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{s}.$$  \hfill (9, 10)

Standard formalism exploiting uniqueness of axion-Josephson wave function then yields

$$\hat{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_2 = 2\pi \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \mod 2\pi,$$  \hfill (11)

$\Phi$: magnetic flux through the area enclosed by the chosen closed line of integration, $\Phi_0 = \frac{\hbar}{2e}$: flux quantum, $\hat{\gamma}_1 := -\gamma_1.$
In the presence of a vector potential $\vec{A}$ define gauge-invariant phase differences $\gamma_i$ by

$$\gamma_1 := \delta - \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} \int_{\text{weak link 1}} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{s}$$

$$\gamma_2 := \theta - \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} \int_{\text{weak link 2}} \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{s}. \tag{10}$$

Standard formalism exploiting uniqueness of axion-Josephson wave function then yields

$$\hat{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_2 = 2\pi \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \mod 2\pi, \tag{11}$$

$\Phi$: magnetic flux through the area enclosed by the chosen closed line of integration,

$\Phi_0 = \frac{\hbar}{2e}$: flux quantum, $\hat{\gamma}_1 := -\gamma_1$.

If $\Phi \ll \Phi_0$ or if $\Phi$ is an integer multiple of $\Phi_0$ then

$$\gamma_2 = \hat{\gamma}_1 \tag{12}$$

meaning the phase difference $\theta$ produced by axion decay synchronizes with the Josephson phase difference $\delta$. 

20
Can calculate the formal magnetic field that would be there if axion were still present in the weak link:

\[ B = \frac{2\pi \Gamma f_a^2 d}{g_\gamma \hbar c^3 e}. \] (13)

This formal \( B \)-field is huge, but it’s only formal: \( B \sim 10^{20} T \). It means the axion immediately decays into 2 microwave photons when entering the weak-link region.

\[
P_{a \rightarrow \gamma} = \frac{1}{4\beta_a} (g \ Bec \ L)^2 \left( \frac{\sin \frac{qL}{2\hbar}}{\frac{qL}{2\hbar}} \right)^2 = P_{\gamma \rightarrow a}
\] (14)
Can calculate the formal magnetic field that would be there if axion were still present in the weak link:

\[ B = \frac{2\pi \Gamma f_a^2 d}{g\gamma \hbar c^3 e}. \]  

(13)

This formal \( B \)-field is huge, but it’s only formal: \( B \sim 10^{20} T \). It means the axion immediately decays into 2 microwave photons when entering the weak-link region.

Primakoff effect:

\[ P_{a\rightarrow\gamma} = \frac{1}{4\beta_a} (g Bec L)^2 \left( \frac{\sin qL}{2\hbar} \right)^2 = P_{\gamma\rightarrow a} \]  

(14)

The very large formal \( B \)-field can always be expressed by the flux through a tiny area — the flux \( \Phi = \mathbf{B} \cdot \text{tiny area} \) is just of ordinary size...
Microscopic model of what happens in an S/N/S junction. Axion tunnels through junction (ATJ) and triggers (by multiple Andreev reflection) the transport of $n$ Cooper pairs ($n = 3$ in the example plotted).
Some relevant formulas (C. Beck, PRL 111, 231801 (2013)):

Signal shape in RSJ approximation (Shapiro step without externally applied microwave radiation)

\[
I_s(V) = \frac{P_s}{4}(RI_c)^2 \frac{1}{V^2} \left[ \frac{V + V_s}{(V + V_s)^2 + \left( \frac{\delta V}{2} \right)^2} + \frac{V - V_s}{(V - V_s)^2 + \left( \frac{\delta V}{2} \right)^2} \right]. \tag{15}
\]

\[2eV_s = m_ac^2 \quad (V_s: \text{signal voltage})\]

Expected signal power from axions:

\[P_s = \rho_a vA. \tag{16}\]

\(\rho_a: \) axionic dark matter density near the earth, \(v = 2.3 \cdot 10^5 \frac{m}{s}, \) \(A: \) Area of weak-link region of JJ.

Total signal current produced by axions in S/N/S junction:

\[I_s = \int G_s dV = \frac{N_a}{\tau} \cdot n \cdot 2e = \frac{\rho_a}{m_ac^2} vA \cdot n \cdot 2e \tag{17}\]

where \(N_a/\tau\) is the number of axions hitting the normal metal region per time unit \(\tau.\)

Axion density from

\[\rho_a = \frac{I_s V_s}{vAn}. \tag{18}\]

This can be used to experimentally estimate the axion mass \(m_a\) and dark matter density \(\rho_a\) from an experimental measurement of \(V_s\) and \(I_s.\)
5 An observed candidate signal in S/N/S Josephson junctions

An observed candidate signal in S/N/S Josephson junctions


They measured differential conductivity $G(V) = \frac{dI}{dV}$ and observed signal peak ‘of unknown origin’ at $V_s = \pm 0.055 \text{mV}$. 
Hoffmann et al. (2004) observe a signal of unknown origin that is consistent with our theoretical expectations. Independent of the temperature (which is varied from 0.1K to 0.9K) they consistently observe a small peak in their measured differential conductivity $G(V)$ at the voltage $V_s = \pm 0.055mV$. 

Their measurements provide evidence for a signal current feature of size $I_s = (8.1 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-8}A$ obtained by integrating the area under the observed signal peak of the differential conductivity.

Their noise measurements also indicate that every quasi-particle performs $n = 7$ Andreev reflections.

Area $A$ of the metal plate of their junction is $A = 0.85\mu m \times 0.4\mu m = 3.4 \cdot 10^{-13}m^2$.

From $2eV_s = ma_c^2$ we thus obtain an axion mass prediction of $m_ac^2 = 110\mu eV$ (equivalent to $f_a \sim 5.5 \cdot 10^{10}GeV$), and $\rho_a = \frac{I_sV_s}{v_An}$ yields the prediction $\rho_a = (0.051 \pm 0.006)GeV/cm^3$. 
Is this value of axionic dark matter density \( \rho_a = (0.051 \pm 0.006) \text{GeV/cm}^3 \) as predicted by our theory based on Hoffmann et al.’s measurements reasonable?
Is this value of axionic dark matter density ($\rho_a = (0.051 \pm 0.006) GeV/cm^3$) as predicted by our theory based on Hoffmann et al.’s measurements reasonable?

Yes, it is.

- Astrophysical observations suggest that the galactic dark matter density $\rho_d$ near the earth is about $\rho_d = (0.3 \pm 0.1) GeV/cm^3$ (Weber, de Boer 2010). But this includes all kinds of dark matter particles, including WIMPS.

- Generally, axions of high mass will make up only a fraction of the total dark matter density of the universe: $\rho_a / \rho_d \approx (24 \mu eV/m_a c^2)^{7/6}$ (Duffy, van Bibber (2009)).

- For $m_a c^2 = 110 \mu eV$ we thus expect an axionic dark matter density that is a fraction $(24/110)^{7/6} \approx 0.17$ of the total dark matter density, giving $\rho_a \approx 0.17 \cdot \rho_d = (0.051 \pm 0.017) GeV/cm^3$. The intensity of the JJ signal is thus in perfect agreement with what is expected from astrophysical observations.

- A very recent analysis of rotation curves of galaxies is consistent with these values (M-H Li and Z-B Li, Phys. Rev. D 89, 103512 (2014)).
Need further measurements to confirm (or refute) dark matter nature of the observed candidate signal:

- Does the signal survive careful shielding of the junction from any external microwave radiation? A signal produced by axions cannot be shielded.

- Should look for a possible small dependence of the measured signal intensity on the spatial orientation of the metal plate relative to the galactic axion flow (a precise directional measurement would be extremely helpful).

- The velocity $v$ by which the earth moves through the axionic BEC (Sikivie et al. 2009) of the galactic halo exhibits a yearly modulation of about 10%, with a maximum in June and a minimum in December. Hence JJ signal intensity should exhibit the same yearly modulation.

- Independent experiments (such as upgraded versions of ADMX) would need to confirm the suggested value of $m_a c^2 = 110 \mu eV$. 
Experimental check: Search for annual modulation of the intensity of a Shapiro step-like feature—if it is produced by axions.

Maximum expected in June, minimum in December.
Latest developments

• The latest BICEP2 results (PRL 112, 241101 (2014)), if taken at face value, would single out the inflationary scale as $H_I \sim 1.1 \cdot 10^{14}\text{GeV}$.

• From this Visinelli et al. (PRL 113, 011802 (2014)) derive a lower bound on the axion mass: $m_a c^2 \geq 72\mu\text{eV}$.

• This lower bound is bigger than most people expected for the axion, but in line with our suggested value $110\mu\text{eV}$. It implies that the Peccei-Quinn phase transition took place after inflation.

• Further experiments that seem to see peculiarities at $V_a = 55\mu\text{V}$ are discussed in C. Beck, arXiv:1403.5676:
  - Golikova et al. PRB 86, 064416 (2012) — based on Al-(Cu/Fe)-Al junctions
  - L. He et al. arXiv:1107.0061 — based on W-Au-W junctions
  - Bae et al. PRB 77, 144501 (2008) — based on high $T_c$ (Bl-2212) junctions

• There could also be broad-band noise effects of axions (C. Beck, arXiv:1409.4759)
Shapiro steps at voltages $n hf$ as measured by Bae et al. for external frequency (a) $f = 26 \text{ GHz}$ and (b) $f = 13 \text{ GHz}$.
Flux noise in SQUIDS and q-bits as measured by Bialczak et al. (PRL 2007) and Sendelbach et al. (PRL 2008)

Low-frequency part could be due to axionic density fluctuations. Predicted power spectrum (C. Beck, arXiv:1409.4759): $S_\phi(f) = \frac{\theta_1^2 \Phi_0^2 A_s}{16\pi^2} \left( \frac{f}{v k^*} \right)^{n_s-1} \frac{1}{f}$
6 Summary

- Nobody really knows what dark matter is...
- Recent experimental suggestions to search for dark matter axions are based on small devices, not big machines!
- Axions hitting the weak-link region of S/N/S junctions may trigger the transport of additional Cooper pairs. Leads to a small measurable signal for the differential conductivity if axion mass resonates with Josephson frequency.
- Effect is particularly strong in S/N/S junctions which have a much larger weak-link region than tunnel junctions.
- Candidate signal of unknown origin has been observed in measurements of Hoffmann et al. Can be interpreted in terms of an axion mass of 0.11 meV and a local axionic dark matter density of 0.05 GeV/cm$^3$. C. Beck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 231801 (2013)
- Interesting interdisciplinary problem at the interface between astrophysics, condensed matter physics, nanotechnology, and particle physics.