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APPENDIX

This Appendix contains other relevant figures relating to the College entry profile, reference data and equal opportunities that are not included in the main paper. These may be of interest or stimulate more detailed investigation.
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Fig. 8: Outcomes for PGT students by cohort, 2006-10.
Fig. 9: Outcomes for PGT students by cohort, 2006-10, expressed as a percentage of complete students in the cohort.

Fig. 10: Outcomes for PGT students by faculty and cohort, 2006-10, expressed as a percentage of complete students in the cohort.
Fig. 11: Withdrawal reasons, expressed as a percentage of PGT students who failed to complete their programme, by cohort, 2006-10.

Fig. 12: Fee-region of PGT students by cohort, 2006-10.
Fig. 13: Fee-region of PGT students by faculty and cohort, 2006-10.

Fig. 14: Number of students in each faculty by cohort, 2006-10.
Fig. 15: Declared ethnicity of PGT students by cohort, 2006-10.

Fig. 16: Ethnicity of PGT entrants by fee-region and cohort, 2006-10. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded.
**Fig. 17:** Ethnicity of PGT entrants by faculty and cohort, 2006-10. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded.

**Fig. 18:** Distribution of students who failed to declare their ethnicity by fee-region and cohort, 2006-10.
Fig. 19: Gender of PGT entrants by cohort, 2006-10.

Fig. 20: Gender of PGT entrants by fee-region and cohort, 2006-10.
Fig. 21: Gender of PGT entrants by faculty and cohort, 2006-10.

Fig. 22: Percentage of PGT entrants under and over the age of 25 by cohort, 2006-10.
Fig. 23: Percentage of PGT entrants under and over the age of 25 by faculty and cohort, 2006-10.

Fig. 24: Percentage of PGT entrants under and over the age of 25 by fee-region and cohort, 2006-10.
Fig. 25: Percentage of PGT entrants declaring themselves as disabled by cohort, 2006-10.

Fig. 26: Breakdown of declared disabilities by PGT students in cohorts 2006-10, expressed as a percentage of students in that cohort who declared a disability.
Fig. 27: Outcome by declared ethnicity and cohort for PGT students entering between 2006 and 2010. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded.

Fig. 28: Outcome by declared ethnicity and cohort for PGT students entering between 2006 and 2010. Incomplete students, and those who failed to declare their ethnicity, are excluded.
Fig. 29: Outcome by declared ethnicity and cohort for UK-domiciled PGT students entering between 2006 and 2010. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded.

Fig. 1: Outcome by declared ethnicity and cohort for UK-domiciled PGT students entering between 2006 and 2010. Incomplete students, and those who failed to declare their ethnicity, are excluded. (Figure included in main paper)
Fig. 30: Outcome by declared ethnicity and cohort for non-EU-domiciled PGT students entering between 2006 and 2010. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded.

Fig. 31: Outcome by declared ethnicity and cohort for non-EU-domiciled PGT students entering between 2006 and 2010. Incomplete students, and those who failed to declare their ethnicity, are excluded.
Fig. 32: Outcome by faculty and declared ethnicity for UK-domiciled students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded.

Fig. 33: Outcome by faculty and declared ethnicity for UK-domiciled students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Incomplete students, and those who failed to declare their ethnicity, are excluded.
Fig. 34: Outcome by faculty and declared ethnicity for non-EU-domiciled students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded.

Fig. 35: Outcome by faculty and declared ethnicity for non-EU-domiciled students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Incomplete students, and those who failed to declare their ethnicity, are excluded.
Fig. 36: Withdrawal reasons by declared ethnicity for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded. Percentages are of students who withdrew.

Fig. 37: Withdrawal reasons by declared ethnicity for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded. Percentages are of students who withdrew and for whom a reason other than ‘Other’ or ‘Unknown’ is recorded.
Fig. 2: Withdrawal reasons by declared ethnicity for UK-domiciled PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded. Percentages are of students who withdrew. *(Figure included in main paper)*

Fig. 3: Withdrawal reasons by declared ethnicity for UK-domiciled PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded. Percentages are of students who withdrew and for whom a reason other than ‘Other’ or ‘Unknown’ is recorded.
Fig. 39: Withdrawal reasons by declared ethnicity for non-EU-domiciled PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded. Percentages are of students who withdrew.

Fig. 40: Withdrawal reasons by declared ethnicity for non-EU-domiciled PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Students who failed to declare their ethnicity are excluded. Percentages are of students who withdrew and for whom a reason other than ‘Other’ or ‘Unknown’ is recorded.
Fig. 41: Outcome by fee-region for PGT students in cohort 2006-10.
**Fig. 3:** Outcome by fee-region for PGT students in cohort 2006-10. Incomplete students excluded. *(Figure included in main paper)*
Fig. 42: Outcome by faculty and fee-region for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined.

Fig. 4: Outcome by faculty and fee-region for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Incomplete students excluded. (*Figure included in main paper*)
**Fig. 43:** Withdrawal reasons by fee-region for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Percentages are of students who withdrew.

**Fig. 44:** Withdrawal reasons by fee-region for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Percentages are of students who withdrew and for whom a reason other than ‘Other’ or ‘Unknown’ is recorded.
**Fig. 45:** Outcome for PGT students by gender and cohort, 2006-10.

**Fig. 5:** Outcome for PGT students by gender and cohort, 2006-10. Incomplete students excluded. *(Figure included in main paper)*
Fig. 46: Withdrawal reasons by gender for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Percentages are of students who withdrew.

Fig. 47: Withdrawal reasons by gender for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Percentages are of students who withdrew and for whom a reason other than ‘Other’ or ‘Unknown’ is recorded.
Fig. 48: Outcome for PGT students by age on entry and cohort, 2006-10.

Fig. 6: Outcome for PGT students by age on entry and cohort, 2006-10. Incomplete students omitted. (*Figure included in main paper*)
Fig. 49: Withdrawal reasons by age on entry for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Percentages are of students who withdrew.

Fig. 50: Withdrawal reasons by age on entry for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Percentages are of students who withdrew and for whom a reason other than ‘Other’ or ‘Unknown’ is recorded.
Fig. 51: Outcomes by declared disability for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined.

Fig. 7: Outcomes by declared disability for PGT students in cohorts 2006-10 combined. Incomplete students excluded. (Figure included in main paper)